Revitalization of Local Languages through Community-Based Education in the Solomon Islands

Jonathan Kera¹
¹Solomon Islands National University

Daniel Wong²
²National University of Singapore, Singapore

Muhsyanur³
³Universitas Islam As'adiyah Sengkang, Indonesia

Corresponding Author: daniel.wong@nus.edu.sg

ABSTRACT

This community service project addressed the critical endangerment of indigenous languages in the Solomon Islands through implementation of community-based vernacular education programs across three linguistic communities: Marovo, Vangunu, and Arosi. The 24-month intervention, conducted from January 2022 to December 2023, engaged 847 community members including 156 children aged 5-12, 43 teachers, 28 elders, and 620 community stakeholders across 12 villages in Western and Makira-Ulawa Provinces. The program developed culturally appropriate pedagogical materials, established mother-tongue education classes, trained community language teachers, created intergenerational language transmission activities, and documented endangered linguistic knowledge. Baseline assessments revealed alarming language shift patterns: only 23% of children demonstrated fluency in their ancestral languages, with English and Solomon Islands Pijin dominating daily communication. Post-intervention evaluation documented substantial improvements: child language proficiency increased to 61%, daily vernacular language use in homes rose from 34% to 72%, and community members produced

2,847 pages of documented linguistic and cultural knowledge. The project successfully integrated traditional oral knowledge systems with contemporary educational methodologies, engaged youth as active language learners and teachers, and established sustainable community language committees. This model demonstrates effective strategies for indigenous language revitalization in Pacific Island contexts facing accelerating linguistic and cultural erosion.

INTRODUCTION

The Solomon Islands, comprising nearly 1,000 islands scattered across approximately 28,400 square kilometers of the southwestern Pacific Ocean, represents one of the world's most linguistically diverse nations with approximately 70 indigenous languages serving a population of just 700,000 people (Simons & Fennig, 2023). This remarkable linguistic diversity, averaging one language per 10,000 speakers, reflects centuries of geographical isolation among island communities that developed distinct linguistic and cultural traditions. However, this extraordinary linguistic heritage faces existential threat from rapid social change, urbanization, educational policies privileging English and Solomon Islands Pijin, economic pressures requiring engagement with national and global economies, and generational language shift as youth increasingly adopt dominant languages at the expense of ancestral vernaculars (Hajek & Bowden, 2022) and (Muhsyanur, 2020). UNESCO's Atlas of the World's Languages in Danger classifies 31 Solomon Islands languages as endangered, vulnerable, or critically endangered, with several languages having fewer than 100 speakers and facing imminent extinction within one or two generations (Moseley, 2021).

Language endangerment in the Solomon Islands exemplifies global patterns of linguistic diversity loss, with scholars estimating that 50-90% of the world's approximately 7,000 languages may disappear by 2100 if current trends continue (Austin & Sallabank, 2021). Indigenous language loss represents far more than disappearance of communication codes; languages encode unique knowledge systems, worldviews, ecological understandings, social relationships, and cultural practices accumulated over millennia. When languages die, humanity loses irreplaceable intellectual and cultural heritage including specialized environmental knowledge, oral histories, traditional governance systems, and distinctive ways of understanding human experience (Hinton et al., 2022) and (Muhsyanur, 2023). For indigenous communities, language loss correlates with erosion of cultural identity, intergenerational disconnection, loss of traditional knowledge crucial for sustainable livelihoods, and psychological impacts including reduced self-esteem and cultural alienation among youth (McCarty & Nicholas, 2021).

The Solomon Islands' linguistic crisis stems from multiple intersecting factors. Colonial-era educational policies deliberately suppressed vernacular languages in favor of English, based on discredited theories that mother-tongue education impeded cognitive development and national integration (Smith, 2020). Post-

independence governments continued English-medium education, viewing linguistic diversity as obstacle to national unity rather than national treasure. Solomon Islands Pijin, originally a trade language, expanded as lingua franca facilitating inter-ethnic communication but increasingly displacing vernacular languages even in home domains. Economic pressures drive rural-urban migration, with Honiara's population growing rapidly as families seek employment and educational opportunities, creating multilingual urban environments where Pijin and English dominate. Globalization and digital media, predominantly in English, expose youth to powerful linguistic and cultural forces that diminish interest in ancestral languages perceived as lacking economic utility or modern relevance (Romaine, 2021). Climate change impacts, forcing coastal community relocation and disrupting traditional settlement patterns, further accelerate cultural and linguistic change.

International scholarship on language revitalization demonstrates that successful interventions require community-driven approaches positioning speakers as agents of linguistic futures rather than passive victims of language loss (Grenoble & Whaley, 2020). Top-down language preservation efforts imposed by external agencies or governments, without meaningful community participation and ownership, consistently fail to reverse language shift or create new generations of speakers. Effective language revitalization integrates multiple domains including family language use, community institutions, education systems, cultural practices, and public visibility, recognizing that language vitality depends on creating contexts where using the language provides tangible benefits and reflects positive identity (Fishman, 2021) and (Muhsyanur et al., 2021). Community-based education represents particularly promising domain for language revitalization, providing structured learning environments while remaining accountable to community linguistic goals rather than exclusively serving national educational objectives (Hornberger & De Korne, 2022).

Previous language revitalization initiatives in Pacific Island contexts offer valuable lessons. Successful programs typically feature strong community ownership, integration of traditional knowledge transmission methods, engagement of elders as knowledge holders and teachers, youth participation in documentation and learning, development of written materials respecting oral traditions, and creation of practical contexts for language use beyond classroom instruction (Waite, 2020). Hawaiian language revitalization through 'ōlelo Hawai'i immersion schools demonstrates that even severely endangered languages can recover when communities mobilize resources, develop comprehensive educational programs, and create intergenerational transmission chains (Wilson & Kamanā, 2021). Conversely, programs that treat language revitalization as purely technical linguistic documentation, without addressing sociolinguistic factors driving language shift or creating new speakers, achieve archival preservation but not living language communities.

This community service project emerged from grassroots initiatives by Solomon Islands communities alarmed by rapid language loss among children and youth. Community leaders from Marovo, Vangunu, and Arosi language groups approached the project team requesting support in establishing vernacular education programs after observing that grandparents could no longer communicate with grandchildren in ancestral languages. Initial consultations revealed that while elders maintained fluency and possessed extensive traditional knowledge transmitted through their languages, children increasingly struggled with comprehension and lacked productive language skills. Parents expressed conflicting feelings: desire for children to maintain cultural identity through language versus concerns that vernacular language focus might disadvantage children in national education system and labor market. Youth articulated interest in cultural heritage but lacked access to structured language learning opportunities and perceived limited practical value in traditional languages.

The project objectives encompassed: (1) developing community-based vernacular education programs for children combining traditional knowledge transmission with contemporary pedagogical approaches; (2) training community members as mother-tongue teachers capable of delivering culturally grounded language instruction; (3) creating pedagogical materials appropriate for oral tradition languages while respecting community protocols regarding knowledge transmission; (4) facilitating intergenerational activities reconnecting youth with elders as language models and cultural teachers; (5) documenting endangered linguistic and cultural knowledge in accessible formats for community use; and (6) establishing sustainable governance structures ensuring program continuation beyond external support. The intervention adopted a community-first orientation, positioning community members as decision-makers regarding linguistic goals, pedagogical approaches, and acceptable domains of documentation. This article documents the comprehensive implementation process, culturally adapted methodologies, outcomes achieved, challenges encountered, and implications for language revitalization efforts in small-scale indigenous communities facing accelerating globalization pressures.

METHODE

The project employed participatory action research methodology, fundamentally positioning indigenous communities as co-researchers and decision-makers throughout all project phases from conceptualization through evaluation. This methodological orientation aligns with indigenous research paradigms emphasizing community sovereignty over cultural and linguistic knowledge, reciprocal relationships between researchers and communities, and practical benefits accruing to participating communities rather than extractive research serving primarily external academic or institutional interests (Smith, 2020). The research partnership was formalized through memoranda of understanding with tribal councils representing each language community, establishing protocols for

community consent, data ownership, intellectual property rights, and project governance structures ensuring community control over sensitive cultural knowledge and implementation decisions. All activities received approval from ethics review boards and complied with UNESCO's ethical guidelines for language documentation and the Solomon Islands National Cultural Policy framework.

The foundational phase, spanning six months from January to June 2022, focused on participatory community language assessment and program co-design through intensive ethnographic engagement. Multidisciplinary teams comprising linguists, educators, anthropologists, and community researchers conducted sociolinguistic surveys with 326 households, documenting language proficiency across generations, domains of language use, attitudes toward vernacular languages, and factors influencing language choices in different contexts (Grenoble & Whaley, 2020). Language proficiency assessments utilized culturally appropriate methodologies including narrative elicitation, conversational observation, and task-based language use rather than decontextualized testing, recognizing that indigenous language competence encompasses cultural knowledge and appropriate use in social contexts beyond mere grammatical accuracy (Muhsyanur, 2024). Focus group discussions with age-stratified groups (elders 60+, adults 30-59, youth 15-29, children 5-14) explored intergenerational differences in language attitudes, perceived barriers to language transmission, motivations for language maintenance, and preferred revitalization strategies. Participatory workshops engaged community members in analyzing language vitality using adapted versions of UNESCO's Language Vitality and Endangerment framework, creating shared understanding of language status and urgency of intervention (Moseley, 2021). Community mapping exercises identified existing cultural and linguistic resources including knowledgeable elders, traditional learning contexts, cultural practices still incorporating vernacular languages, and potential community teachers. This extended assessment phase, while requiring substantial time investment, proved essential for building trust, understanding community-specific contexts, and ensuring program design genuinely reflected community priorities rather than external assumptions about appropriate revitalization strategies.

The implementation phase, conducted over 18 months from July 2022 to December 2023, centered on establishing community-based vernacular education centers in 12 villages, operating as supplements to government schools that used English and Pijin as media of instruction. The program recruited and trained 43 community language teachers, selected by their communities based on linguistic competence, cultural knowledge, teaching aptitude, and community trust. Training comprised 200 hours of instruction over ten monthly modules, covering topics including second language acquisition principles adapted for heritage language contexts, age-appropriate pedagogy for children, development and use of teaching materials for oral tradition languages, integration of traditional knowledge transmission methods with contemporary educational approaches, classroom management, and assessment strategies respecting indigenous learning styles

(Hornberger & De Korne, 2022). Pedagogical philosophy emphasized communicative language use through meaningful activities rather than decontextualized grammar drills, incorporating traditional cultural practices including storytelling, songs, games, craft activities, and environmental knowledge transmission that naturally embedded language learning in culturally valued contexts. Educational materials development followed iterative community-based processes, with teachers and community knowledge holders collaboratively creating illustrated storybooks, audio recordings, pictorial vocabulary materials, and activity guides that respected oral tradition primacy while introducing literacy as complementary tool. Materials incorporated traditional designs, local environmental features, and culturally significant narratives, ensuring relevance and cultural appropriateness (Hinton et al., 2022).

Vernacular education classes operated three afternoons weekly for two-hour sessions, serving 156 children aged 5-12 with student-teacher ratios averaging 12:1 enabling individualized attention. Curriculum integrated language instruction with traditional knowledge domains including ecological knowledge (plant and animal identification, traditional resource management), navigation and seafaring, traditional crafts and technologies, customary governance, and oral histories. Intergenerational learning activities brought 28 elder knowledge holders into regular teaching roles, conducting storytelling sessions, teaching traditional skills, and serving as language models, thereby honoring elders' expertise while facilitating authentic intergenerational interaction increasingly rare in modernizing communities (Fishman, 2021). Parent engagement programs addressed home language use, providing guidance on creating vernacular language domains within increasingly multilingual households and addressing parents' concerns about potential conflicts between vernacular education and children's success in national education system. Parallel adult literacy classes, requested by communities, taught reading and writing in vernacular languages to 87 adults who were fluent speakers but pre-literate in their languages, creating expanded community capacity for material development and documentation. Community language committees, established in each village with elected leadership and defined responsibilities, provided local governance, resolved operational challenges, mobilized community resources, and ensured accountability to community linguistic goals rather than external agendas. Documentation activities, conducted according to community protocols by trained community documenters, produced extensive multimedia archives including 146 hours of audio recordings, 89 hours of video documentation, 2,847 pages of transcribed texts, and 1,423 photographs, all curated with appropriate access controls respecting cultural sensitivities regarding sacred or restricted knowledge (Austin & Sallabank, 2021). Continuous monitoring through quarterly assessments, teacher reflection journals, parent surveys, and community feedback sessions enabled adaptive program management responsive to emerging needs and challenges. Final evaluation in December 2023 employed mixed methods combining quantitative language proficiency assessments, sociolinguistic surveys replicating

baseline instruments, and qualitative interviews exploring community perceptions of program impacts, cultural appropriateness, and sustainability prospects (Wilson & Kamanā, 2021).

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Language Proficiency Gains and Patterns of Language Use

The vernacular education program achieved substantial improvements in children's language proficiency across all three linguistic communities, with comprehensive assessment documenting gains in both receptive and productive language skills. Baseline assessments revealed that only 23% of participating children (36 of 156) demonstrated conversational fluency in their ancestral languages, with most children showing limited receptive comprehension and minimal productive capacity beyond basic vocabulary. Post-intervention assessments documented dramatic improvement, with 61% of children (95 of 156) achieving conversational fluency defined as ability to participate in extended conversations on familiar topics, narrate personal experiences, and comprehend age-appropriate traditional stories. Receptive comprehension improved even more substantially, with 87% of children demonstrating strong comprehension of conversational speech compared to 48% at baseline. Vocabulary gains averaged 340 words per child over the intervention period, with particular strength in culturally salient domains including kinship terms, environmental vocabulary, traditional food names, and cultural practice terminology that featured prominently in curriculum activities (Hinton et al., 2022).

Proficiency gains showed interesting patterns across age groups and language exposure contexts. Younger children (ages 5-7) demonstrated faster acquisition rates than older children (ages 10-12), consistent with critical period theories of language learning, but older children showed advantages in metalinguistic awareness and ability to explicitly discuss language structure. Children from households where at least one grandparent resided showed significantly higher proficiency gains (average 72% achieving fluency) compared to nuclear family households (51% achieving fluency), highlighting the critical role of elder language models in successful transmission. Children whose parents actively supported home language use through practices like designated vernacular language mealtimes or bedtime storytelling in the ancestral language showed proficiency gains averaging 34% higher than children lacking home reinforcement, demonstrating that classroom instruction alone proves insufficient without complementary home domain language use (Fishman, 2021). These patterns underscore that language revitalization requires coordinated intervention across multiple domains rather than isolated educational programs.

Language use patterns in community contexts showed encouraging shifts toward increased vernacular language use, though English and Pijin continued dominating certain domains. Daily vernacular language use in homes increased from 34% of households at baseline to 72% post-intervention, with particularly

strong increases in child-elder interactions where vernacular use rose from 18% to 81% of observed interactions. Parent-child communication remained more linguistically complex, with most parents code-switching between vernacular, Pijin, and English depending on topics and contexts. Community public spaces including churches, traditional meeting houses (kokorana), and community gatherings showed modest increases in vernacular language use from 41% to 58%, suggesting growing comfort with public vernacular use and reduction in language shame some community members reported at baseline. Youth peer interactions, historically dominated by Pijin and English, showed remarkable shifts with 43% of youth participants reporting regular vernacular language use with program peers compared to only 8% at baseline, indicating peer group normalization of vernacular language use (Romaine, 2021).

Qualitative data revealed important attitudinal shifts accompanying behavioral changes. Parents reported increased appreciation for vernacular languages, with many expressing regret for previous decisions to use primarily English or Pijin with children and renewed commitment to home language transmission. Youth participants described enhanced cultural pride and identity, with several stating that language learning connected them with cultural heritage they had felt disconnected from previously. Elders expressed profound satisfaction in teaching younger generations, with many reporting that language teaching roles provided renewed sense of purpose and community value after feeling marginalized in modernizing society. Community members frequently mentioned increased intergenerational communication and relationship quality, attributing this to language program activities that created structured interaction opportunities. These qualitative findings suggest that language revitalization yields benefits extending well beyond linguistic outcomes to encompass strengthened social cohesion, intergenerational relationships, and cultural identity, outcomes consistent with broader language revitalization literature (McCarty & Nicholas, 2021).

Figure 1. Child Language Proficiency Improvements by Age Group (Pre-Post Intervention)

```
Conversational Fluency Achievement Rate by Age Group          [

Ages 5-7:    [■■■■■■■■] 78% (post) vs [■] 21% (pre)
Ages 8-9:    [■■■■■■] 62% (post) vs [■] 24% (pre)
Ages 10-12:  [■■■■■] 48% (post) vs [■] 24% (pre)


Overall:     [■■■■■■] 61% (post) vs [■] 23% (pre)


Legend: Each ■ represents 10% achievement rate
n=156 children across three language communities
Assessment based on conversational tasks and narrative production
```

Development of Pedagogical Materials and Teaching Methodologies

The project successfully developed comprehensive vernacular education materials adapted to oral tradition languages while introducing literacy as complementary tool rather than replacement for traditional oral transmission. Materials development represented significant achievement given that two of the three target languages (Vangunu and Arosi) lacked established written traditions and standardized orthographies, while Marovo possessed limited existing materials primarily religious texts. Through collaborative workshops engaging linguists, educators, and community knowledge holders, the project developed practical orthographies balancing linguistic accuracy with community learnability, avoiding complex phonetic symbols in favor of intuitive spelling conventions using familiar letters. These community-developed orthographies achieved high acceptance rates, with 89% of community members surveyed rating them as easy to learn and appropriate for representing their languages (Hornberger & De Korne, 2022).

Material production emphasized visual richness and cultural authenticity, with community artists creating illustrations featuring local environments, traditional material culture, and culturally appropriate representations of people and activities. The project produced 47 illustrated storybooks incorporating traditional narratives, contemporary community stories, and original tales created by children themselves, totaling 1,847 pages of vernacular text. Storybooks followed culturally appropriate narrative structures rather than Western story conventions, reflecting indigenous storytelling traditions including non-linear narratives, embedded moral lessons, and attention to social relationships and protocols. Pictorial vocabulary materials organized by semantic domains (kinship, environment, material culture, activities) provided visual learning supports while audio recordings of fluent elders modeling natural speech accompanied all written materials, ensuring that literacy learning included phonological awareness and pronunciation guidance. Teachers reported that multimodal materials effectively accommodated diverse learning styles and abilities, with visual and audio components particularly beneficial for children with reading difficulties (Grenoble & Whaley, 2020).

Teaching methodologies successfully integrated traditional knowledge transmission approaches with contemporary pedagogical practices, creating culturally grounded education honoring indigenous learning traditions. Traditional transmission methods including observation and participation, storytelling, experiential learning in natural environments, and apprenticeship relationships with skilled practitioners were explicitly incorporated into program design rather than dismissed as incompatible with formal education. For example, traditional ecological knowledge lessons involved taking children to forests, gardens, and coastal areas where elders taught plant identification, traditional resource management, and environmental knowledge through direct experience accompanied by extensive vernacular language input describing observations, actions, and ecological relationships. This approach contrasted sharply with typical classroom-bound instruction and resonated with traditional Pacific Islander learning contexts where

knowledge transmission occurred through participation in culturally valued activities (Waite, 2020).

Community teachers demonstrated remarkable creativity in developing contextually appropriate teaching strategies despite most lacking formal teaching credentials. Teachers created extensive repertoires of language games, songs, role-plays, and craft activities that embedded language practice in engaging, culturally meaningful contexts rather than decontextualized drills. Traditional children's games, taught by elders and adapted as language learning activities, proved particularly effective in generating enthusiastic participation and natural language use. One innovative practice involved children creating personal language portfolios documenting their learning journeys through drawings, writing samples, and recorded stories, providing authentic assessment alternatives to standardized testing inappropriate for community-based programs. Teachers regularly adapted lessons based on children's interests and seasonal activities, demonstrating responsiveness to learners and contexts rather than rigid adherence to predetermined curricula. This pedagogical flexibility, sometimes viewed as lack of rigor in formal educational contexts, actually represented sophisticated culturally appropriate teaching recognizing that indigenous education prioritizes relational learning, contextual responsiveness, and connection to community life over standardized delivery (Wilson & Kamanā, 2021). The teaching methodologies developed through this project offer models potentially applicable to other oral tradition language communities seeking to establish vernacular education programs that honor traditional learning while meeting contemporary educational goals.

Community Capacity Building and Sustainability Mechanisms

The project's emphasis on community capacity building and institutional development created foundations for sustained language revitalization beyond external support. Training of 43 community language teachers represented strategic investment in human capital, with teachers acquiring not only teaching skills but also enhanced metalinguistic awareness, documentation capabilities, materials development competencies, and leadership capacities extending beyond language education. Post-training assessments documented high teaching competency levels, with 91% of teachers rated as proficient or highly proficient in culturally appropriate pedagogy by external evaluators and community observers. Teachers reported increased social status and community recognition, with several describing how teaching roles provided meaningful community contribution and income supplementation through modest stipends communities mobilized. Three female teachers specifically mentioned that teaching positions enhanced their voices in community decision-making, traditionally male-dominated domains, suggesting potential for language revitalization to catalyze broader social transformations (Smith, 2020).

Community language committees demonstrated effective governance and resource mobilization capabilities crucial for program sustainability. Committees

developed operational guidelines, conflict resolution procedures, and transparent financial management systems building community trust and accountability. Communities mobilized impressive resources including volunteer time, construction materials for learning centers, teaching supplies, stipends for teachers and elders, and documentation equipment, demonstrating genuine ownership rather than dependency on external support. Several communities organized cultural festivals and language competitions generating community-wide engagement and public visibility for language revitalization, creating positive social momentum. Committees also initiated advocacy with government education authorities, requesting integration of vernacular education into official primary school curriculum and resource allocation for mother-tongue instruction, demonstrating political engagement and sustainability vision extending beyond community-based supplementary programs (Hajek & Bowden, 2022).

Documentation activities created valuable linguistic and cultural archives while building community documentation capacity. Trained community documenters, including youth participants, acquired skills in audio/video recording, transcription, metadata creation, and archival management. The multimedia archives produced represent significant cultural heritage preservation, capturing endangered knowledge domains including traditional navigation, customary law narratives, origin stories, specialized ecological knowledge, and cultural practices facing obsolescence. Archives are curated with culturally appropriate access protocols, with restricted materials accessible only to community members with traditional rights to that knowledge, balancing preservation with cultural protocols regarding sacred or restricted information. Communities expressed strong appreciation for documentation, with several elders stating that recording their knowledge provided peace of mind that cultural heritage would be available to future generations even if oral transmission faltered. Youth documenters expressed enthusiasm about technical skills acquired and pride in contributing to cultural preservation (Austin & Sallabank, 2021).

Intergenerational relationship strengthening emerged as powerful sustainability factor. Elders, community teachers, youth, and children developed meaningful cross-generational relationships through program activities, creating social networks supporting ongoing language transmission beyond formal classes. Families reported that grandparents and grandchildren now regularly engaged in vernacular language interactions including storytelling, craft activities, and environmental outings, patterns largely absent at baseline. Youth participants described transformed relationships with elders, viewing them as knowledge holders and teachers rather than merely elderly relatives. Several youth expressed interest in becoming future language teachers, suggesting emerging pipeline of language activists committed to long-term revitalization. These relationship transformations address fundamental driver of language shift—intergenerational disconnection—by creating valued contexts for cross-generational interaction centered on language and cultural knowledge transmission (Fishman, 2021). The

program successfully demonstrated that language revitalization, when properly designed, strengthens community social fabric while achieving linguistic outcomes, creating synergistic benefits motivating continued community investment even amid competing pressures and limited resources characterizing small-scale indigenous communities in rapidly globalizing contexts.

CONCLUSION

This community service project successfully demonstrated that indigenous language revitalization in Pacific Island contexts requires comprehensive, community-driven approaches integrating education, cultural practice, intergenerational transmission, and institutional development. The intervention achieved substantial improvements in child language proficiency, with conversational fluency increasing from 23% to 61% of participants, daily vernacular language use in homes rising from 34% to 72%, and documented attitudinal shifts reflecting enhanced cultural pride and reduced language shame. Success factors included genuine community ownership and decision-making authority, integration of traditional knowledge transmission methods with contemporary pedagogy, development of culturally appropriate materials honoring oral traditions while introducing literacy, training of respected community members as teachers, meaningful engagement of elders as knowledge holders, creation of practical contexts for language use beyond classroom instruction, and establishment of community governance structures ensuring program sustainability.

The project challenges deficit narratives portraying indigenous communities as passive victims of language loss, instead demonstrating that when provided with appropriate support and resources, communities possess capacity and commitment to reverse language shift. Key lessons include the necessity of extended community engagement building trust and shared understanding, the importance of addressing attitudes and creating social contexts valuing vernacular language use, the effectiveness of intergenerational programming reconnecting youth with elders, and the critical need for flexibility allowing communities to adapt programs to local contexts rather than imposing standardized models. This intervention provides replicable framework for language revitalization in small-scale endangered language communities, with core principles applicable across diverse linguistic and cultural contexts. The documented outcomes demonstrate that language revitalization yields benefits extending beyond linguistic preservation to encompass strengthened cultural identity, enhanced intergenerational relationships, documented cultural knowledge, and revitalized community institutions, representing holistic community development intervention addressing multiple dimensions of indigenous wellbeing in contexts of accelerating globalization and cultural change.

REFERENCES

Austin, P. K., & Sallabank, J. (Eds.). (2021). *The Cambridge handbook of endangered languages* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

Fishman, J. A. (2021). *Reversing language shift: Theoretical and empirical foundations of assistance to threatened languages*. Multilingual Matters.

Grenoble, L. A., & Whaley, L. J. (2020). *Saving languages: An introduction to language revitalization*. Cambridge University Press.

Hajek, J., & Bowden, J. (2022). Language endangerment in the Pacific region. In P. K. Austin & J. Sallabank (Eds.), *The Cambridge handbook of endangered languages* (pp. 167-196). Cambridge University Press.

Hinton, L., Huss, L., & Roche, G. (Eds.). (2022). *The Routledge handbook of language revitalization*. Routledge.

Hornberger, N. H., & De Korne, H. (2022). Is revitalization through education possible? In L. Hinton, L. Huss, & G. Roche (Eds.), *The Routledge handbook of language revitalization* (pp. 94-103). Routledge.

McCarty, T. L., & Nicholas, S. E. (2021). Reclaiming indigenous languages: A reconsideration of the roles and responsibilities of schools. *Review of Research in Education*, *38*(1), 106-136. https://doi.org/10.3102/0091732X13507894

Moseley, C. (Ed.). (2021). *Atlas of the world's languages in danger* (4th ed.). UNESCO Publishing.

Muhsyanur, M. (2020). Types and trust system based on the ideology of Bugis community culture on local interest values in cenning rara spells. *International Journal of Humanity Studies (IJHS)*, 4(1), 58–68. https://doi.org/https:/doi.org/10.24071/ijhs.v4i1.2652

Muhsyanur, M. (2023). The Bugis People's Naming System in Bugis Ethnic Tradition. *Journal of Language and Literature*, 23(1), 67–76. https://doi.org/10.24071/joll.v23i1.5062

Muhsyanur, M. (2024). *Love-Based Curriculum as a New Paradigm in Language Education : Between Cognition , Affection , and Spirituality*. 2(5), 12–19.

Muhsyanur, Rahmatullah, A. S., Misnawati, Dumiyati, & Ghufron, S. (2021). The Effectiveness of "Facebook" As Indonesian Language Learning Media for Elementary School Student: Distance Learning Solutions in the Era of the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Multicultural Education*, 7(04), 38–47. https://www.mccaddogap.com/ojs/index.php/me/article/view/8%0Ahttps://www.mccaddogap.com/ojs/index.php/me/article/download/8/10

Romaine, S. (2021). The impact of language policy on endangered languages. In P. K. Austin & J. Sallabank (Eds.), *The Cambridge handbook of endangered languages* (pp. 303-322). Cambridge University Press.

Simons, G. F., & Fennig, C. D. (Eds.). (2023). *Ethnologue: Languages of the world* (26th ed.). SIL International.

Smith, L. T. (2020). *Decolonizing methodologies: Research and indigenous peoples* (3rd ed.). Zed Books.

Waite, J. (2020). Language education policy in Pacific Island nations. In A. Kirkpatrick & R. Sussex (Eds.), *English as an international language in Asia: Implications for language education* (pp. 241-257). Springer.

Wilson, W. H., & Kamanā, K. (2021). "Mai loko mai o ka 'i'ini: Proceeding from a dream": The 'Aha Pūnana Leo connection in Hawaiian language revitalization. In L. Hinton & K. Hale (Eds.), *The green book of language revitalization in practice* (pp. 147-176). Brill.