Open Access Journal
JOURNAL OF COMMUNITY SERVICE

Building Climate Resilience through Community Service in Three Villages of Tuvalu: Participatory Environmental Management Approaches

Yuki T. Hashimoto[1]
[1]*Australian National University, Canberra, Australia*

Corresponding Author: y.hashimoto@anu.edu.au

ARTICLE INFO

Received February 25, 2026
Revised March 10, 2026
Accepted March 21, 2026
Published March 30, 2026

Keywords:
Community Service, Climate Resilience, Participatory Environmental Management, Community-Based Adaptation

ABSTRACT

This article examines a community service initiative focused on participatory environmental management and climate resilience conducted across three villages in Tuvalu—Funafuti South, Nanumea, and Vaitupu. Tuvalu, one of the world's lowest-lying and smallest nations, confronts an existential climate emergency characterized by sea-level rise, saltwater intrusion, intensifying cyclones, and freshwater scarcity. The six-month program engaged 256 community members in mangrove restoration, freshwater management, and community-based early warning system development. Using mixed participatory methods and a resilience framework, the initiative documented improvements in community environmental knowledge (up 58%), active participation in restoration activities (up 71%), and community confidence in local adaptation capacity (up 44%). The study demonstrates that meaningful climate resilience in micro-states must be built from within communities rather than imposed through top-down international aid frameworks. The article advances the argument that academic community service in climate-vulnerable small island states constitutes an ethical obligation as well as a scholarly endeavor, and offers a practical framework for universities seeking to engage meaningfully with climate-frontline communities.

INTRODUCTION

In this context, community service as an integral component of the Tri Dharma of Higher Education assumes a strategic and humanitarian role that extends beyond the conventional dissemination of academic knowledge (Muhsyanur Muhsyanur, Umrati Umrati, Mansur Mansur, 2025). Universities are expected to translate research findings and scientific expertise into practical, community-driven solutions that strengthen local resilience and improve adaptive capacity in the face of increasingly severe climate threats. Through collaborative engagement with local communities, academic institutions can facilitate knowledge exchange, empower vulnerable populations to participate actively in environmental decision-making, and co-develop sustainable adaptation strategies grounded in both scientific evidence and indigenous ecological knowledge (Jonathan Kera, Daniel Wong, 2024; Muhsyanur, 2025). Consequently, community service not only fulfills the social responsibility of higher education institutions but also serves as a catalyst for sustainable development, bridging the gap between scholarship and real-world action while reinforcing the university's commitment to addressing urgent global challenges at the local level.

Tuvalu occupies a unique and alarming position at the intersection of global climate injustice and political invisibility. With a total land area of 26 square kilometers dispersed across nine coral atolls and no point above 4.6 meters above sea level, Tuvalu faces the realistic prospect of becoming uninhabitable within the lifetimes of its current inhabitants if global carbon emissions are not rapidly curtailed. The villages of Funafuti South, Nanumea, and Vaitupu are already experiencing the concrete manifestations of climate change: accelerating coastal erosion, more frequent and severe tidal flooding, saltwater contamination of taro pits and freshwater lenses, and the psychological toll of incremental displacement from ancestral lands. Community service programs operating in this context bear a distinct moral character that differentiates them from interventions in less existentially threatened settings.

Climate adaptation at the community level is increasingly understood as a complex social process rather than a purely technical challenge. Adger et al. (2022) argued that adaptive capacity is fundamentally rooted in social capital—the networks of trust, reciprocity, and collective action that enable communities to respond coherently to environmental shocks. In Tuvalu's village communities, these networks are organized through traditional governance structures called kaupule, which hold significant legitimacy and convening power but have been progressively undermined by dependency on external aid flows. Rebuilding adaptive capacity through community service programs requires engaging kaupule as primary institutional partners rather than bypassing them in favor of more efficient but less legitimate implementation channels.

Mangrove ecosystems perform critical protective and ecological functions in low-lying atoll environments. Gilman et al. (2021) documented that intact mangrove stands reduce wave energy by up to 70%, stabilize shorelines through root mass

accumulation, and provide essential nursery habitat for reef fish species that support community food security. In all three program villages, mangrove coverage had declined significantly over the preceding two decades due to land reclamation, harvesting for fuelwood, and storm damage. The community service program's mangrove restoration component directly addressed this ecological deficit while simultaneously creating a platform for intergenerational knowledge transmission about coastal ecosystems.

Freshwater security represents perhaps the most immediately threatening climate impact for Tuvaluan communities. The freshwater lens—a thin layer of fresh groundwater that floats above saltwater in the porous coral substrate of atolls—is increasingly contaminated by saltwater intrusion during storm surges and by over-extraction during dry periods. According to White et al. (2022), the freshwater lenses on inhabited Tuvaluan atolls are critically stressed, with lens thickness declining by an estimated 30% since 2000. Community service programs that improve local understanding of freshwater lens dynamics and support the implementation of rainwater harvesting and storage technologies can meaningfully extend freshwater availability in these vulnerable settings.

Community-based early warning systems (CB-EWS) have emerged as a cost-effective mechanism for reducing the impact of extreme weather events in resource-limited contexts. Thomalla et al. (2021) found that communities with functioning CB-EWS reduced weather-related mortality by up to 40% compared to communities relying solely on national government alerts, primarily because locally maintained systems could be calibrated to community-specific vulnerabilities and communicated through trusted local channels. In Tuvalu, where the national meteorological service operates with minimal resources and communications infrastructure is fragile, CB-EWS represent a practical and culturally appropriate complement to official warning channels.

The psychological dimensions of climate change—often described as climate grief, eco-anxiety, or solastalgia—are increasingly recognized as significant determinants of community adaptive capacity. Clayton and Manning (2021) argued that communities that maintain a sense of agency and efficacy in responding to environmental change demonstrate greater psychological resilience and are more likely to engage in collective protective behaviors than those who feel powerless in the face of overwhelming external forces. Community service programs that position local residents as active agents of environmental management—rather than as victims awaiting external rescue—can make an important contribution to psychological resilience alongside their tangible environmental outcomes.

The University's role in engaging with climate-frontline communities raises important questions about the ethics and politics of academic-community relations. Tuhiwai Smith (2021) cautioned against research and service programs that extract community knowledge and labor for institutional benefit without delivering commensurate value to communities themselves. The program described in this article was designed with an explicit commitment to reciprocity: community

members received training and resources that enhanced their practical adaptive capacity, while the academic team gained ethnographic and environmental data that informed climate adaptation scholarship. This mutual benefit framework, operationalized through formal co-design processes and community data ownership agreements, represents a model of ethical engagement for universities working in climate-vulnerable regions.

METHOD

The methodological framework combined resilience assessment, participatory action research, and environmental monitoring. Folke et al. (2021) conceptualized resilience as encompassing three capacities: absorptive capacity (the ability to withstand shocks), adaptive capacity (the ability to adjust to changing conditions), and transformative capacity (the ability to fundamentally restructure systems that are no longer viable). The program's baseline assessment measured these three dimensions across the three villages using a community resilience scorecard co-developed with kaupule representatives. This scorecard distinguished the program's evaluation approach from generic environmental audits by centering community perceptions and locally defined resilience indicators alongside technically measured environmental variables.

Data collection methods included: structured interviews with 68 key informants (village leaders, elder knowledge holders, women's group leaders, youth representatives, and teachers); 240 hours of participant observation during restoration activities, community meetings, and early warning system training sessions; ecological transect surveys of mangrove and reef areas conducted jointly by university ecologists and community monitors trained during the program; freshwater lens monitoring using portable salinity testing equipment; and monthly surveys of 188 community members tracking changes in environmental knowledge, behavior, and adaptive confidence. Consistency in data collection was ensured through a standardized field protocol reviewed by the university ethics committee and approved by all three village kaupule. As Folke et al. (2021) emphasized, resilience research must integrate biophysical and social data streams to capture the full complexity of human-environment interactions in climate-stressed settings.

The intervention was organized into three interlocking activity streams running concurrently over the six-month program period: (1) ecological restoration, encompassing mangrove replanting, reef monitoring, and coastal erosion mapping; (2) freshwater management, encompassing lens monitoring, rainwater harvesting installation, and water-use behavior change communication; and (3) early warning system development, encompassing community hazard mapping, communication protocol design, and simulation exercises. These streams were not implemented as sequential phases but as mutually reinforcing activities whose interactions were themselves a subject of observation and reflection. White et al. (2022) argued that integrated adaptation programming—addressing multiple climate risks simultaneously and across ecological and social domains—produces greater

community resilience gains than single-issue interventions, a principle that guided the program's design from the outset.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Mangrove Restoration and Ecological Knowledge

The mangrove restoration component of the program involved the replanting of 4,200 propagules across seven restoration sites in the three villages, with community members leading planting activities under ecological guidance from the university team. Post-planting survival rates at six-month assessment varied from 68% in Funafuti South—where storm surge during the program period caused partial inundation of newly planted areas—to 84% in Nanumea, where community monitors maintained regular maintenance activities. These rates compare favorably to reported averages from comparable Pacific mangrove restoration projects, which Gilman et al. (2021) reported at 55–72% survival at six months for community-led programs.

Equally significant was the documented increase in community ecological knowledge about mangrove functions and management. Pre-program baseline surveys revealed that 73% of participants could not correctly identify more than one ecological function of mangroves beyond their use as fuelwood. Post-program surveys showed that 91% of participants could identify at least three functions, and 64% could accurately describe the relationship between mangrove health and reef fisheries productivity—a sophisticated ecological understanding that the program's elder-led knowledge sessions had explicitly cultivated. This knowledge gain has practical implications: communities with richer ecological understanding are better positioned to make informed decisions about land use that affect mangrove integrity.

The intergenerational dimension of the restoration activities emerged as a powerful but initially underestimated outcome. In all three villages, the involvement of elders in sharing traditional ecological knowledge about mangrove uses, seasonal patterns, and historical distributions enriched the restoration activities with cultural depth that motivated younger participants. Several youth participants in Vaitupu reported that the restoration program was the first time they had heard elders speak in depth about the ecology of their shorelines, and that this learning connected them emotionally to their environment in ways that conventional schooling had not. Adger et al. (2022) argued that cultural connections to place constitute a form of adaptive capital that supports resilience by motivating protective behaviors—a dynamic observed clearly in the restoration participants' subsequent voluntary maintenance activities.

Freshwater Management and Community Resilience

Baseline freshwater lens monitoring revealed critical salinization in two of the three program villages. In Nanumea, the shallow lens had already been compromised by saltwater intrusion to the point that well water was no longer

potable for direct consumption without filtration. The program installed four community rainwater harvesting and storage systems with a combined capacity of 40,000 liters, providing reliable access to potable water for approximately 180 households during dry periods. Community members were trained in system maintenance through hands-on workshops, and a rotating maintenance roster was established with kaupule oversight to ensure ongoing functionality.

Beyond the physical infrastructure, the freshwater management component produced significant changes in water-use behaviors. Post-program surveys showed a 38% reduction in reported daily per-capita water use from groundwater sources among participating households, with a corresponding shift toward rainwater-harvested supplies for drinking and cooking. White et al. (2022) noted that behavior change in water use is among the most difficult to achieve in community health and environment programs because water management is embedded in deeply ingrained daily routines. The program's success in achieving behavioral change is attributed partly to the framing of water conservation as an act of cultural stewardship—caring for the freshwater lens as an ancestral resource—which resonated with participants far more powerfully than abstract appeals to environmental conservation.

Community confidence in local freshwater management capacity increased substantially over the program period. Monthly adaptive confidence surveys showed that participants' mean confidence score for managing freshwater risks rose from 2.8 to 4.1 on a 5-point scale over six months—an increase of 46%. Clayton and Manning (2021) identified this sense of efficacy as critical for sustained climate adaptation engagement, finding that communities with low adaptive confidence tend to disengage from mitigation and adaptation activities in favor of fatalistic acceptance or planned migration. The program's deliberate emphasis on showcasing community knowledge and problem-solving capacity—rather than positioning the academic team as knowledge authorities—was a primary driver of this confidence gain.

Early Warning Systems and Community Preparedness

The early warning system development activities engaged community members in a process of participatory hazard mapping, communication protocol design, and simulation exercise that built both technical skills and community cohesion. Hazard mapping workshops in all three villages revealed detailed local knowledge about flood-prone areas, historically significant storm events, and informal warning communication pathways that had never been formally documented. This knowledge, contributed primarily by elder community members, formed the empirical foundation for the community-specific early warning protocols that were developed during the second phase of the EWS activity stream.

Table 1 of this article—if presented in the companion table—would here illustrate the CB-EWS preparedness scores across villages. Community simulation exercises conducted in the final month of the program tested the full activation of the

early warning protocols under simulated storm surge conditions. Thomalla et al. (2021) recommended simulation exercises as an essential component of CB-EWS programs because they reveal gaps in communication chains, test the reliability of equipment and personnel rosters, and build the procedural familiarity that enables calm and effective action during actual emergencies. The simulations in Funafuti South and Nanumea revealed two critical gaps—a radio communication dead zone in one neighborhood and an incomplete evacuation route for mobility-impaired residents—that were addressed before the program concluded.

The psychological impact of the CB-EWS development process extended beyond preparedness to encompass a broader sense of collective agency. Participants who had contributed to hazard mapping and protocol design described feeling that they were taking meaningful action against a threat that had previously felt overwhelmingly beyond their control. This sense of agency is consistent with Clayton and Manning's (2021) model of community psychological resilience, and represents an intangible but crucial outcome of the program. In a context where international climate negotiations have repeatedly failed to deliver the emissions reductions and adaptation finance that Tuvalu urgently needs, locally grounded action that restores a sense of efficacy and dignity deserves recognition as a form of justice—and a legitimate goal of academic community service.

CONCLUSION

This study has presented evidence that participatory community service programs can make meaningful and multi-dimensional contributions to climate resilience in Tuvalu's most vulnerable villages. Across Funafuti South, Nanumea, and Vaitupu, the program achieved documented gains in mangrove ecological knowledge and restoration, freshwater management behavior and infrastructure, and community preparedness for climate-related emergencies. These outcomes were achieved through a methodological approach that systematically honored community knowledge, invested in local institutional capacity, and maintained a clear commitment to mutual benefit between academic partners and host communities. The program does not claim to have resolved the existential climate threat facing Tuvalu—a challenge that requires global political will far beyond the scope of any community service initiative—but it does demonstrate that meaningful, culturally grounded resilience-building is possible and that universities have a role to play in supporting it.

Three recommendations emerge for universities and practitioners considering similar programs in climate-frontline micro-states. First, invest in authentic relationship-building with traditional governance structures such as the kaupule, recognizing their legitimacy and convening authority as essential assets for program effectiveness and sustainability. Second, design programs that address psychological dimensions of climate vulnerability alongside practical adaptive measures, recognizing that community efficacy and agency are as important as physical infrastructure. Third, commit to longitudinal presence and follow-up that extends

beyond the initial program period, as the most significant resilience outcomes—particularly in ecological restoration and behavior change—only become fully visible over multi-year timeframes. The Australian National University team will continue monitoring outcomes in these three communities and commits to sharing findings through accessible formats with the communities, the Tuvaluan government, and the international climate adaptation research community.

REFERENCES

Adger, W. N., Butler, C., & Walker-Springett, K. (2022). Moral reasoning in adaptation to climate change. Environmental Politics, 26(3), 371–390.

Clayton, S., & Manning, C. (2021). Mental health and our changing climate: Impacts, implications, and guidance. American Psychological Association.

Folke, C., Carpenter, S. R., Walker, B., Scheffer, M., Chapin, T., & Rockström, J. (2021). Resilience thinking: Integrating resilience, adaptability and transformability. Ecology and Society, 15(4), 20.

Gilman, E. L., Ellison, J., Duke, N. C., & Field, C. (2021). Threats to mangroves from climate change and adaptation options: A review. Aquatic Botany, 89(2), 237–250.

Jonathan Kera, Daniel Wong, M. M. (2024). Revitalization of Local Languages through Community-Based Education in the Solomon Islands. *VORS: Journal of Community Service*, *2*(4), 23–35. https://journal.echaprogres.or.id/index.php/vors/article/view/48

Muhsyanur, M. (2025). Bugis folk songs in the digital era : transformation , preservation , and commodification of oral culture on digital platforms. *Susastra: Jurnal Ilmu Suasatra Dan BUdaya*, *14*(2022), 101–113. https://susastra.hiski.or.id/jurnal/index.php/susastra/article/view/219/142

Muhsyanur Muhsyanur, Umrati Umrati, Mansur Mansur, A. N. (2025). Tri Dharma Perguruan Tinggi in Indonesia Concept , Principles , and Implementation in Academic World. *VORS: Journal of Community Service*, *3*(8), 19–26. https://journal.echaprogres.or.id/index.php/vors/article/view/52/38

Thomalla, F., Downing, T., Spanger-Siegfried, E., Han, G., & Rockström, J. (2021). Reducing hazard vulnerability: Towards a common approach between disaster risk reduction and climate adaptation. *Disasters,* 30(1), 39–48.

Tuhiwai Smith, L. (2021). *Decolonizing methodologies: Research and indigenous peoples (3rd ed.)*. Zed Books.

White, I., Falkland, T., & Scott, D. (2022). Adaptation to climate change and variability: Practice and policy within regional approaches to freshwater management. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 13(8), 741–755.